STATE OF MICHIGAN

27TH CIRCUIT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION

In the Matter of,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALYSSA AND AMBER KEAST

File No. 04-5078-NA

TRANSCRIPT

POST-TERMINATION REVIEW HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE TERRENCE R. THOMAS, FAMILY COURT JUDGE
White Cloud, Michigan - Wednesday, November 8, 2006

APPEARANCES:

Attorney for Department:

MR. CURTIS S. SLATINA, P66988

1092 Newell

White Cloud, Michigan 49349

(231) 689-7283

Department of Human Services:

MS. LACEY GONZALEZ-BORSTLER

White Cloud, Michigan 49349

(231) 689-5575

Attorney for the Children:

MR. CHRISTINE FRISBIE, P46672

1206 E. James

White Cloud, Michigan 49349

(231) 924-6200

RECORDED BY:

Marilyn J. Hall CER 6579 CERTIFIED ELECTRONIC RECORDER

(231) 689-7275

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3 WITNESSES:

None.

EXHIBITS:

None.

.0

.4

White Cloud, Michigan

.8

0.9

Wednesday, November 8, 2006 - beginning at 2:30 p.m.

MR. SLATINA: I'd like to next call the matter of the Keast minors, this is case number 05-005315, today is the time and date set for a post-termination review hearing. Curt Slatina appearing on behalf of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, present with me is Lacey Gonzalez-Borstler from Newaygo County DHS. I'd ask the court to mark and admit the report, accept the recommendations contained in the report.

MS. FRISBIE: Christine Frisbie on behalf of the minor children, no objection to the report being marked and admitted, and the Court utilizing the recommendations in there. Your Honor, this is, this case is the permanency plan for this adoption, and I believe that the case is moving in that direction. I know there's been some—some studies done, like home studies done to look into possible placement, relative placement, and that's what's happening now.

THE COURT: These children have they met their perspective, with them yet, adoptive, perspective adoptive parents for both of these children?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Yes, in the event--at this point the grandparents and another relative have expressed interest in adoption and the assessments on those homes are in the process of being completed. And in the event that those homes are not found suitable there will be--there is a possible

placement that could potentially be looked into.

THE COURT: Well, essentially I was just looking at the Adoption Progress Report out of Bethany, which indicates that the kids are in foster placement and—but they haven't been even introduced to perspective adoptive parents yet. And apparently the idea is that, from what you're telling me putting it together, once these people are approved as being perspective adoptive parents then they'll be introduced to the children; but the children remain in foster care until they become comfortable?

MS. FRISBIE: I think it's appropriate for them to do an assessment first, and then if the assessment's successful introduce the children, but until that—because otherwise you're going to introduce the children and then if the assessment's no good then, you know, they have a lot of, these two girls have a lot of emotional problems and I think it would be detrimental to introduce them unless—until the assessment is positive.

THE COURT: Well, that's essentially what—that's essentially what that report says.

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Your Honor, there's more information available, but at this time it's forthcoming about the future plans for the children.

THE COURT: Well, is the permanency plan adoption?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: And is progress being made towards it?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Yes, it is.

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, I guess that's what we need to know. As a matter of fact you've located some people who want to adopt both of them?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Yes.

THE COURT: And, but you gotta get them approved?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, the Court's satisfied. And of course we haven't had these children in that mode very long have we?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Is this the first post-termination?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: This is the second.

MS. FRISBIE: Second.

THE COURT: What kind of a timetable are we talking about?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Hopefully by February 2007.

THE COURT: It's going to take that long to approve the perspective adoptive?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: It's complicated.

THE COURT: The time's got to expand so they can get their driver's license back, because they lost it, or something like that?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: No, nope.

THE COURT: Or they're waiting for a criminal charge to go away?

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: No.

. 8

THE COURT: I can only speculate with that amount of information, but I'll accept the fact that some progress is being made towards the permanency plan of adopting these children.

That's all for today.

MR. SLATINA: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. GONZALEZ-BORSTLER: Thank you.

MS. FRISBIE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Reasonable efforts are being made.

(At 2:35 p.m., proceedings concluded)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

COUNTY OF NEWAYGO)

L7

0.5

I certify that this transcript consisting of 7 pages, is a complete, true, and correct transcript of the proceedings and testimony taken in this case on Wednesday, November 8, 2006.

DATED: April 1, 2007

Marilyn J. Hall CER 6579 27th Circuit Court, Family Division 1092 Newell, PO Box 885 White Cloud, Michigan 49349

This transcript is not a certified copy unless signed with an original signature.

(231) 689-7275